



Sh.Brichh Bhan S/o Sh.Saroop Chand,
R/o H No-33, Kathangarh Road,
Patran, Distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/oSGPC,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/oSGPC,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2583 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Simarjit Singh, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 18.02.2021 has sought information regarding year-wise details of cloth purchased from the year 2015 to Dec.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SGPC Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the first appellate authority on 12.04.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 13.10.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar/Patiala.

The appellant was absent and vide email sought adjournment. In the said email, the appellant informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent was absent and vide email sought some more time to provide the information on the plea that the sought information is voluminous.

On the date of last hearing on **02.02.2022**, the respondent sought some more time to complete the information since the information was voluminous and had to be collected from 78 different Gurdwaras.

The appellant was absent and vide email sought adjournment.

The respondent was granted one more opportunity to provide complete information to the appellant within 15 days of the receipt of the order and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Hearing dated 21.03.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 21.03.2022 with a copy to the Commission through email. The Commission has received a copy of information through email which has been taken on record.

The appellant is continuously absent on 3rd consecutive hearing to pursue his case.

Having gone through the RTI application and copy of the reply of the PIO, the Commission finds that the RTI has been sufficiently replied to. No further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 21.03.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Kuldip Kumar,
5-C, Phase-1, Urban Estate,
Focal Point, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Food Supply Controller (West),
Sarabha Nagar, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Food Supply Controller (West),
Sarabha Nagar, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1353 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through the RTI application dated 06.10.2020 has sought information regarding Gopal Singh Kainth and Nirbha Singh working under FSO Jagraon – List of attachment with PDS No. in each case with the order of competent authority – list of beneficiaries who died during this period with RC No. – list of beneficiaries who migrated due to marriage with RC-ID – list of beneficiaries permanent/temporary migrated and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of District Food Supply Controller (West) Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 28.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case first came up for hearing on 29.07.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of the last hearing which was fixed on **10.11.2021** through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana, both the parties were absent again.

In the interest of justice, one more opportunity was granted and the case was adjourned.

Hearing dated 21.03.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent.

Having gone through the record, the Commission observed that the District Controller, Food & Civil Supply, Ludhiana vide letter dated 03.12.2020 forwarded the RTI application to the Inspector Food & Supply Jagraon with the direction to provide information. As per a copy of the letter dated 28.07.2021, received in the Commission through email, the Assistant Food Supply Officer, Jagraon has sent the information/reply to the appellant.

The appellant is absent on 3rd consecutive hearing nor has communicated any discrepancies. It is presumed that the appellant is satisfied with the provided information.

Since the appellant is absent on 3rd consecutive hearing to pursue his case, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated:21.03.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Tejinder Singh,
Civil Court,
Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Food & Drugs Administrator,
Kharar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Food & Drugs Administrator,
Kharar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1111 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Tejinder Singh as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 18.04.2020 has sought information regarding details of Prime Minister Jan Aushdhi Store in Ludhiana, Amritsar, Jalandhar & Patiala from 2017 to 18.04.2020 - list of documents required for getting Jan Aushdhi Store – supply of generic medicines to store and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of Food and Drug Administrator, Kharar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.06.2020, which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 29.07.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent informed that they have already issued instructions to the office of the Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana, Amritsar, Patiala and Jalandhar and the information relating to District Ludhiana has already been supplied by the Drug Inspector Ludhiana to the appellant vide letter dated 07.08.2020 with a copy to the Commission. The respondent also submitted a copy of the information supplied to the appellant by the Drug Control Officer, Patiala vide letter dated 16.09.2020. As per the respondent, the information from Amritsar and Jalandhar was yet to be supplied.

The appellant claimed that there are four zones in Ludhiana and he has only received information from Zone-1 Ludhiana which is also incomplete.

A copy of information relating to Patiala District submitted by the respondent was sent to the appellant. For the rest of the information, including deficiencies, the PIO-O/o Food & Drug Administration, Kharar was directed to procure the information from other zones of Ludhiana as well as from Amritsar & Jalandhar and provide it to the appellant within one month and send a compliance report to the commission.

On the date of the last hearing on **10.11.2021**, the respondent present pleaded that the information relating to other districts has been provided to the appellant on 24.08.2021.

The appellant claimed that no documents (comprising NOC-license – inspection report – degree certificate etc) relating to point-2 from Amritsar District have been provided.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the appellant was directed to point out the discrepancies in writing to the PIO with a copy to the Commission and the PIO was directed to provide sort out the discrepancies and provide information except degree certificates.

Hearing dated 21.03.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent.

The Commission has received a copy of the letter dated 07.03.2022 from the Drug Control Officer, Amritsar vide which the PIO has sent information to the appellant with a copy to the Commission. The Commission has also received a CD as well as a copy of the information that has been taken on record.

The appellant is directed to collect the copy of information from the office of the Commission on any working day.

With the above, information stands provided. No further interference of the Commission is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated:21.03.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Satnam Singh, S/o Sh. Mohan Singh,
R/o Village Tera Rujputa, P.O Gurala,
Tehsil Ajnala, Distt Amritsar.

... Complaint

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Amritsar, Rural.

...Respondent

Complainant Case No. 899 of 2020

PRESENT: Sh.Satnam Singh as the Complainant
Ms.Navneet Kaur, SI(RTI –Incharge O/o SSP Amritsar) for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through the RTI application dated 05.11.2019 has sought information regarding FIR No.30 dated 14.02.2014 PC Thana Ajnala – a copy of the enquiry report along with the statement of witnesses and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SSP Amritsar (Rural). The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 02.12.2020.

The case first came up for hearing on 06.05.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. Both the parties were absent.

Having gone through the record, the commission observed that there is no response of the PIO in attending to the RTI application and there has been an enormous delay of more than one year & four months.

The PIO was directed to respond to the RTI application otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate proceedings against the PIO under section 20 of the RTI Act.

On the date of last hearing on **03.08.2021**, the respondent informed that the information has been supplied to the complainant.

The appellant was absent on the 2nd consecutive occasion, nor represented nor has communicated any legitimate reasons for the absence on both the counts.

The appellant was directed to appear before the Commission on the next date of hearing to pursue the case.

On the date of last hearing on **10.11.2021**, the appellant was absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 28.09.2021 informed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The Commission received an email from the PIO-cum-SSP-Amritsar(Rural) stating that as per report of Chief Officer, Police Station Ajnala, the cancellation report is not traceable in their record and requested for adjournment.

Hearing dated 21.03.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that the cancellation report has been traced and assured to provide the information within a week. The appellant has agreed to the same.

The PIO is directed to provide information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of the order and send a compliance report to the commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 21.03.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner